

Peer Review Report

Article: Have You Heard? Articles is Your Best Bet to Grow

Author(s): Charlie Taylor, Kenya Juarez

Reviewers: Anthone Manuchin, Karina Matirova

Review Date: 10.12.2023

Report

Title and Abstract:

- The title effectively conveys the content and scope of the study. However, it could be more precise in indicating the specific focus.
- The abstract succinctly summarizes the main points of the paper but lacks clarity regarding the methodology and key findings.

Content of the Paper:

- The paper clearly states its objectives and research questions/hypotheses. The theoretical framework is adequately established, providing a solid foundation for the study.
- The methodology section offers sufficient detail about the research structure and data collection process. However, more clarity on certain methodological aspects would enhance the paper's comprehensibility.
- The presentation of findings aligns with the methodology and appears to be accurate. Yet, further elaboration on certain results might be beneficial.
- The conclusions drawn seem to resonate with the study's objectives and findings.

Literature and References:

- The paper adequately integrates existing literature. However, a few additional recent references could enhance the discussion.
- The references are mostly current and relevant, contributing to the scholarly discourse on the subject.

Writing and Presentation:

- The paper is written in a comprehensible manner with a coherent structure. Yet, some sentences could be rephrased for greater clarity.
- There are a few minor grammatical errors and typos that need correction.

Journal of Open Journal Systems
Volume: 2 Issue: 2 Year: 2020

- Figures and tables are well-incorporated but could benefit from more detailed explanations in certain instances.

Originality and Contribution:

- The paper appears to make a noteworthy contribution to the field by addressing a specific gap. The study demonstrates originality and offers a fresh perspective on the subject matter.

Recommendations and Conclusion:

- Overall, the paper requires minor revisions to enhance its clarity and presentation.
- With some revisions, the paper would be suitable for publication in its current form with the suggested improvements.